

PANORAMIC

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Liechtenstein

LEXOLOGY

Dispute Resolution

Contributing Editors

Jonathan Speed and Louise Lanzkron

Bird & Bird

Generated on: August 21, 2026

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. Copyright 2006 - 2026 Law Business Research

Contents

Dispute Resolution

LITIGATION

- Court system
- Judges and juries
- Limitation issues
- Pre-action behaviour
- Starting proceedings
- Timetable
- Challenging the court's jurisdiction
- Case management
- Evidence – documents
- Evidence – privilege
- Evidence – pretrial
- Evidence – trial
- Interim remedies
- Remedies
- Settlement
- Enforcement
- Public access
- Costs
- Funding arrangements
- Insurance
- Class action
- Appeal
- Foreign judgments
- Foreign proceedings

ARBITRATION

- UNCITRAL Model Law
- Arbitration agreements
- Choice of arbitrator
- Arbitrator options
- Arbitral procedure
- Court powers to support the arbitral process
- Interim relief
- Award
- Appeal or challenge
- Enforcement
- Costs

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Types of ADR
Requirements for ADR

MISCELLANEOUS

Interesting features

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Recent developments and future reforms

Contributors

Liechtenstein

Niedermüller Rechtsanwälte | Attorneys at Law



Matthias Niedermüller

Alexander Milionis

Fabian Rischka

mn@niedermueller.law

ami@niedermueller.law

fri@niedermueller.law

LITIGATION

Court system

What is the structure of the civil court system?

The Principality of Liechtenstein is a hereditary constitutional monarchy on a democratic and parliamentary basis. It is a civil law jurisdiction.

The laws governing dispute resolution are primarily the Code of Civil Procedure, the Jurisdiction Act and the Enforcement Act. Non-contentious proceedings are governed by the special Act on Non-Contentious Proceedings in Civil Matters.

The organisation of the courts is governed by the Court Organisation Act. The civil courts all have their seat in the capital Vaduz. In general, there are three levels and only three civil courts in Liechtenstein.

- the Princely District Court (District Court);
- the Princely Court of Appeal (Court of Appeal); and
- the Princely Supreme Court (Supreme Court).

In addition, the Liechtenstein Constitutional Court is established as a separate instance to protect constitutional rights.

Also in dispute resolution matters, access to the following international courts of law is possible if certain requirements are met:

- the EFTA Court in Luxembourg (the equivalent, for the members of the European Free Trade Association, to the European Court of Justice for EU member states); and
- the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France.

Except for a few specific matters (eg, state liability matters), the District Court is the first-instance court for all civil and criminal law matters; it is composed of 18 judges, six of whom act as investigating judges in criminal investigations and three of whom mainly deal with foundation supervisory matters. Certain matters in simplified proceedings (eg, foreclosure, probate and guardianship cases) can also be handled by specially trained non-judicial officials of the court who are bound by instructions of the judges. There are no juries in civil proceedings.

Both the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court are collegiate courts that consist of three senates for the former, and two for the latter. Each senate of the Court of Appeal is composed of five judges (ie, a senate chair and four senior judges); the senates of the Supreme Court are composed of a senate chair and four supreme judges.

There are no special courts for specific matters.

The majority of the judges must have Liechtenstein citizenship. However, the Supreme Court is traditionally composed of professors and experienced Supreme Court judges from abroad. The judges are selected by a selection committee comprising the reigning prince and members of parliament.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Judges and juries

What is the role of the judge and the jury in civil proceedings?

Civil proceedings are governed by certain principles that define the relationship between the court and the parties and their duties (principle of party disposition, principle of party presentation, investigation principle, principle of ex officio proceedings and duty of instruction). In contrast to common law, the judge in a civil law jurisdiction generally has an active role when it comes to gathering evidence.

The principle of party disposition largely gives the parties control over the lawsuit. The parties decide whether, when and to what extent civil proceedings shall be initiated, what the subject matter of the proceedings shall be and which evidence shall be taken, but the truth must be based on the evidence presented by the parties. The parties also are obliged to present their evidence and the judge does not have a duty to ascertain facts on their own. If facts have been alleged by a party, the judge may collect additional evidence not requested by the parties. However, including documents and the hearing of witnesses is not permitted if both parties object to taking such evidence.

Further, the parties decide the way and point in time when the proceeding shall end, such as withdrawal, acknowledgement, settlement or court decision. Thus, the judge is bound by the motions of the parties and is barred from deciding on matters that go beyond the subject matter defined by the parties.

Under the duty of instruction, the judge is obliged to (1) instruct the parties if their pleadings are unclear, incomplete or lack precision, and (2) give them the opportunity to improve their pleadings. In addition, the judge is obliged to discuss the factual and legal pleadings with the parties and may not base the decision on any legal ground of which the parties were not obviously aware without giving the parties the opportunity to make statements beforehand.

In some proceedings (eg, foundation supervisory proceedings and non-contentious matters in general), the investigation principle applies which gives the judge extensive control over the subject matter of the proceedings and, in particular, the ability to decide on evidence to be taken and to even go beyond the scope of applications of the parties when deciding on the matter.

Further, the court has to determine and apply the law ex officio. The principle of *iura novit curia* applies also in all proceedings, but only with regard to domestic law. The courts shall also take into account foreign law; if foreign law applies, then the parties to the proceedings are obliged to present such foreign law and submit evidence (eg, by presenting the statutory law or by expert witnesses).

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Limitation issues

What are the time limits for bringing civil claims?

Liechtenstein law treats limitation periods as a matter of substantive law, not procedural law. Recently, the general limitation period was reduced from 30 to 10 years after a claim arises. However, for certain types of contractual claims, the limitation period is five years (eg,

claims for delivery of goods or performance of work or other services in a trade, business or other enterprise; claims for rent; claims of employees) or less (eg, three years for the right to challenge a testamentary disposition).

Claims for damages become time-barred within three years of the damaged party becoming aware of the damage, the damaging party and the causal relation of both. For claims against a financial intermediary in connection with a financial services transaction, the absolute time limit is 10 years after the claim arises. In all other cases, the absolute limitation period is 30 years after the occurrence of the harmful event. In any event, however, if the damage is caused by a criminal offence punishable with imprisonment of more than three years, the limitation period for such claim is always 30 years.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Pre-action behaviour

Are there any pre-action considerations the parties should take into account?

In Liechtenstein, there are no compulsory conciliation proceedings necessary before filing a legal action or application.

That said, a party may apply for a conciliation attempt and the summons of the opponent for this purpose. However, this is very unusual, entirely voluntary and possible only if the opponent resides in Liechtenstein. The non-appearance of the opponent has no consequences.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Starting proceedings

How are civil proceedings commenced? How and when are the parties to the proceedings notified of their commencement? Do the courts have the capacity to handle their caseload? Do the courts charge a fee for starting proceedings or issuing a claim?

Civil proceedings are initiated by filing a legal action or application with the District Court; the application includes an outline on the jurisdiction of the court, the set of facts on which the claim or application is based, the evidence that shall be taken to prove the facts and the relief sought. A legal outline is not required; however, in most cases it is recommended that it be included for clarification purposes.

Provided the court accepts its jurisdiction, the defendant is notified by being served with the legal action or application ex officio after the court fees have been paid. If the defendant is not domiciled in Liechtenstein, service of the action and the claim documents are typically executed by letter rogatory in civil matters via the competent court at the domicile of the defendant.

If the civil proceeding is initiated by an application for an injunction that pursues the purpose of securing the claims, a different process applies.

Liechtenstein courts are widely known to be highly efficient and for being able to handle a high caseload of complex and demanding cases with international aspects. Accordingly, the overall duration of proceedings is short compared with other jurisdictions.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Timetable

What is the typical procedure and timetable for a civil claim?

After the filing of the legal action, the court fees are due for payment by the applicant. After payment of the court fees, the court serves the claim documents to the defendant and sets a date for the first oral hearing. At the first oral hearing, the defendant may raise formal objections (eg, lack of jurisdiction) and apply for an order for a security deposit for costs in case the claimant is a person from abroad or a legal entity.

After the security deposit has been made or it is clarified that an exemption from the deposit applies, the court orders the defendant to provide a counter-statement to the claim.

Thereafter, the court schedules a case management hearing where the evidence to be taken is determined based on the submissions of the parties. The court passes an order as to which evidence is expected to be taken.

Subsequently, the case is heard in one or more oral hearings where the parties can present their arguments, witnesses are examined and expert opinions are obtained as well. If the judge holds that sufficient facts have been taken to enable a decision to be made, he or she closes the taking of evidence, dismisses outstanding further evidence, if any, that he or she considers irrelevant and issues the written judgment.

Generally, factual pleadings including defence and counterclaims for set-off and new evidence (eg, documents) can be put to the court by the parties until the closing of the oral hearing. The court, however, may refuse to take evidence if the evidence has been negligently brought forward and if the taking would extend the proceedings considerably. Until first-instance proceedings are closed, the respondent can file a formal statement of counterclaim, too.

The duration of the taking of evidence in the first instance highly depends on the subject matter and the complexity of the case. If an extensive taking of evidence is required (eg, due to a large number of witnesses, hearing of witnesses abroad by letter rogatory or obtaining expert witness reports), the taking of evidence at the District Court can take up to one year and even longer in complex cases.

Usually, a decision of the District Court can be obtained within a year. The appeal proceeding before the Court of Appeal usually takes three to six months. In the case of a further appeal to the Supreme Court, a decision can also be expected within three to six months.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Challenging the court's jurisdiction

Can the parties challenge the court's jurisdiction? If so, how can parties do this? Can parties apply for anti-suit orders and, if so, in what circumstances?

If a defendant intends to challenge a court's jurisdiction, it must do so at the latest during the first hearing before the court, otherwise the right to challenge jurisdiction has lapsed. Accordingly, a respondent that has omitted to challenge the jurisdiction of the court is considered to accept the court's jurisdiction. Granting the challenge of jurisdiction results in the rejection of the claims.

Liechtenstein civil procedure law does not provide for anti-suit orders in the meaning of orders against a natural or legal person, personally prohibiting them from starting or continuing court proceedings. However, the rules and measures of the Enforcement Act grant the possibility to apply for injunctions prohibiting persons from all type of actions and, thus, also from initiating certain steps such as civil proceedings. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a claim was or still is the subject matter of a lawsuit, the parties involved are prevented from bringing it before a court in the course of further proceedings (*res iudicata* or *lis pendens*).

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Case management

Can the parties control the procedure and the timetable? Can they extend time limits?

Generally, the judge has control over the case management and, in particular, over the sequence of taking the different evidence offered, when hearings take place, and when the taking of evidence is closed and a decision is rendered. However, judges usually try to accommodate the preferences of the parties, too, if they agree on a certain conduct.

Where the case handling by the other party causes delays, applications for setting time limits for taking evidence can be filed, which the judge decides based on the general procedural principles. Where substantial delays in the case handling are caused by the judge, the parties may either apply to the supervisory judge to set deadlines or file disciplinary complaints.

Some of the time limits are extendable and some are not. Time limits set by a judge are generally extendable. Upon application and when good reason is provided, an extension is granted at the discretion of the judge. Such extension generally cannot be challenged by the opposing party. Time limits set by law, however, such as limits for filing appeals, cannot be extended.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Evidence – documents

Is there a duty to preserve documents and other evidence pending trial? Must parties share relevant documents (including those unhelpful to their case)?

Unlike in many common law jurisdictions, Liechtenstein law provides for neither discovery proceedings nor a duty of the parties to preserve evidence in view of an upcoming or pending trial. Only certain licensed entities have an obligation to maintain and archive files for a given period – however, typically without being obliged to disclose them to the opposite party. Thus, each party generally must ensure it has its own documentation relevant to the claim.

In addition, parties are not obliged to present documentation that may be contrary to their interest. This rule is limited by the principle that each party is obliged to make true, correct and complete statements in the proceeding. Under certain conditions, parties may request the edition of documents in the possession of the opposing party or a third party. This applies if, for instance, a party refers to a document or it is a mutual document.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Evidence – privilege

Are any documents privileged? Would advice from an in-house lawyer (whether local or foreign) also be privileged?

In general, parties and witnesses are obliged to appear and testify. However, there are also certain exceptions under which witnesses may refuse to give testimony.

This particularly applies in cases of legal secrecy obligations of a lawyer. Section 321 of the Code of Civil Procedure provides that a lawyer can refuse to give testimony on all information entrusted to him or her by the client. This privilege must not be circumvented by other means, such as the examination of employees or an order to produce certain documents. The privilege includes client–attorney correspondence irrespective of where and in whose possession the correspondence is. This applies to Liechtenstein and foreign lawyers alike. Thus, foreign lawyers who are members of a bar association can invoke the same principles for the client–attorney relationship. The secrecy obligations of an attorney are additionally protected by criminal procedure law. Thus, client–attorney documentation is also generally exempt from seizure in criminal proceedings.

However, in-house lawyers do not enjoy the same protection under procedural law as they are formally not acting as attorneys at law within the meaning of procedural law and the Act on Attorneys.

Additionally, Liechtenstein law provides for a similar secrecy obligation for professional trustees with regard to all information they have been entrusted with by their client, too.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Evidence – pretrial

Do parties exchange written evidence from witnesses and experts prior to trial?

Contrary to many common law jurisdictions, Liechtenstein law does not set any general duties to preserve evidence or any pretrial discovery obligations.

The Code of Civil Procedure allows for the preservation of evidence only under certain conditions. If the conditions are met, certain witnesses or parties can be heard before the commencement of the case – particularly if there is a danger that the evidence might no longer be available in the future.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Evidence – trial

How is evidence presented at trial? Do witnesses and experts give oral evidence?

There are five main types of evidence under Liechtenstein procedural law: documentary evidence, witness testimony, expert witness testimony, inspection on the spot and party testimony. This list, however, is not exhaustive. Procedural law accepts other types of evidence, such as tape and video recordings, electronic data and biological evidence. Further, as opposed to other jurisdictions, evidence that has been obtained by unlawful acts is permitted in civil proceedings in Liechtenstein.

As a general rule, each party must evidence the facts that form the basis of their position and are favourable to them. Based on the principle of immediacy and orality of proceedings, judges must obtain an immediate impression of the evidence. Thus, only what has been brought forward in an oral hearing may form the basis of a decision. Therefore, witnesses testify orally and are questioned by the court and the parties. Expert witnesses can provide written expert statements and are heard only upon a party's request.

Records of witness statements from other court proceedings are admissible as evidence only if all parties agree, or if all parties were involved in the other court proceedings and the evidence is no longer available.

Witnesses not willing or not able to appear before a court in Liechtenstein can be interrogated by a foreign court based on letter rogatory proceedings. In addition, a witness can be heard by means of video conference before the judge abroad instead of sending a list of questions to be answered by letter rogatory under certain requirements.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Interim remedies

What interim remedies are available?

Under the Enforcement Act, interim injunctions can be issued upon application prior to or during civil proceedings, or even during enforcement proceedings; this is to secure the claims and rights of the claimant in case the absence of an injunction would lead to the risk that the claims asserted could not be successfully enforced based on the court decision expected to be obtained in the main proceeding. This applies if the future court decision ordering a payment would have to be enforced abroad.

Injunctions, for instance, can secure monetary claims by putting a lien on certain assets of the defendant located in Liechtenstein that the applicant must identify in the application. In this regard, it is noteworthy that, overall, rights and claims can be seized that grant only

indirect access to certain assets, such as instruction rights and voting rights. Injunctions can serve to preserve a certain status quo that shall be adhered to until termination of the proceeding. However, it is not possible to search for and pledge undefined assets of a debtor. Search warrants are applicable only in relation to criminal proceedings.

The applicant must provide prima facie evidence for its claim and the endangerment of the claim that makes the injunction necessary. Endangerment is given if the claimant without an injunction would have to enforce the asserted claims abroad or if the claims could not be effectively enforced.

Based on a current case law of the European Convention on Human Rights, as a general rule, the court shall hear the defendant before issuing the injunction. However, if such hearing before issuing the injunction might jeopardise the effectiveness of the injunction, such that it leads to the risk of disposal or moving of the assets, the injunction can still be issued on an ex parte basis. If so, an injunction usually is obtained within one or two weeks.

Generally, an injunction exists as a standalone measure but must be justified by main proceedings in which a claimant pursues the main claim. Main proceedings can be proceedings in Liechtenstein or any other proceedings abroad if they lead to a decision enforceable in Liechtenstein. Arbitration proceedings can also serve as the justifying main proceedings.

Granting the injunction, the court sets a time limit within which the initiation of the main proceedings shall be evidenced, otherwise the injunction shall be lifted. If the main proceedings are already pending, the court determines that they serve as justification for the injunction.

In the injunction, the court can order the applicant to provide a security deposit for costs or damages if it holds that the applicant was not able to sufficiently attest the claims. Further, the injunction is granted at the risk of the applicant, who is liable for all loss and damage of the defendant caused by the injunction if the court finds against the applicant in the main proceedings.

In very urgent cases, super-provisional injunctions can be obtained within a day; such super-provisional injunctions have to be followed up with an application for injunction within two days thereafter.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Remedies

What substantive remedies are available?

For contractual and tort claims, the principles of damages law provide for restitution in kind (specific performance) as the primary remedy. If restitution in kind is not feasible, regular monetary damages apply. Further, a claimant can also ask for specific performance under a certain agreement, as well as for omissions.

However, punitive damages cannot be requested in Liechtenstein as they are against the principle that only the damage and loss suffered can be remedied. For the same reason, decisions of foreign courts ordering punitive damages are not enforceable in Liechtenstein in as far as they exceed the actual damage suffered.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Settlement

Are there any rules governing the settlement process? Can parties keep settlement discussions confidential from the court?

Generally, procedural law provides that the court shall attempt a settlement at the oral hearing with the parties present. Liechtenstein law, however, does not provide specific rules governing the process to achieve a settlement. Thus, such a settlement process remains rather formal and is highly dependent on the efforts and skills of the presiding judge, and the involvement and willingness of the parties. A settlement can be reached out of court or in a court hearing. If a settlement can be reached in a court hearing with the involvement of the judge, the settlement is noted in the minutes of the court hearing. Such settlement then constitutes an enforceable public deed with the same effect and enforceability as a judgment.

The parties can also reach out-of-court settlements that, however, do not in themselves have the effect of a court decision – unless they are also documented in a public deed by a notary.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Enforcement

What means of enforcement are available?

The Enforcement Act provides for a broad array of enforcement means. Applicability depends on the underlying claim that shall be enforced.

Decisions ordering payments allow the seizure and auctioning of all kinds of assets of the debtor. A lien can be put on all kinds of claims and rights of the debtor that have any monetary value. In particular, claims and rights that only indirectly grant access to assets can be attached and transferred to the claimant. Further, forced administration of companies or other properties is a possible means of enforcement.

If a decision orders a party to commit a personal act or omit one for the benefit of another party, an enforcement can be made by ordering personal actions of the debtor subject to fines or imprisonment of up to 12 months if the action cannot be taken by a third person. If the act can also be performed by a third party, the court will order such act to be taken by the third party at the cost of the debtor.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Public access

Are court hearings held in public? Are court documents available to the public? Are there circumstances in which hearings can be held in private? Is there a mechanism to preserve documents disclosed as part of the court process?

In general, oral hearings and the announcement of a decision in civil proceedings are public. Under certain conditions, however, the public can be excluded from hearings such as if it is required to protect business secrets. Some types of proceedings are not open to the public, such as injunction hearings and hearings in non-contentious proceedings regarding very private and family matters. However, recordings of public hearings are not permitted even if they are public.

The entire written documentation regarding the court case, such as the submissions, evidence documents and decisions, are also not accessible to the public. However, individuals who can show legal interest in the content of the case file can be granted access based on a reasoned application. The court decisions of lower instances are not published. Only certain relevant decisions of the Supreme Court are published, although on an anonymous basis.

As a general principle under constitutional law, hearings in civil court proceedings are public. However, civil procedure law provides for exceptions, particularly where the public order is in danger, family affairs are at issue or business secrets are concerned. In such cases, the court decides upon application to exclude the public from the court hearing. The court documents themselves (eg, submissions, minutes, judgments or orders) are not public.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Costs

Does the court have power to order costs? Are there any steps a party can take to protect their position on costs both before the start of proceedings and while proceedings are in progress?

As a general rule, the losing party must reimburse the costs of the successful party according to the statutory lawyer's tariff. If a party is partly successful, parts of the costs are reimbursed based on the level of success. The law, however, also provides for several exceptions and special rules. The lawyers' tariff defines the costs based on the amount in dispute and certain procedural actions. In the case of lower amounts in dispute, the procedural costs to be reimbursed often do not cover the actual costs the party has to bear vis-à-vis the engaged lawyer.

To protect a defendant's position on costs, a foreign claimant must provide a security deposit for the presumed procedural costs of the defendant if the claimant is resident in a country where a costs award issued by a Liechtenstein court could not be enforced. Generally, legal entities acting as claimants must provide a security deposit for presumed procedural costs. If it turns out during proceedings that the paid security deposit does not suffice to cover the procedural costs, the defendant can request an additional security deposit. The obligation to make a security deposit also applies in case of appeals of a foreign party or a legal entity.

However, exemptions apply, such as if the entity shows sufficient funds in Liechtenstein or another country where Liechtenstein cost awards are enforceable. Another exemption is provided for cases of legal aid if the claimant and, in the case of legal entities, the persons potentially benefiting from the claim might not make the deposit. Legal aid is granted only if the litigation is not considered vexatious or futile.

Further, in some proceedings, the rules on security deposits do not apply, such as foundation supervisory proceedings.

The security deposit can be provided by wire transfer or by a bank guarantee of any bank based in the European Union or European Economic Area. Given that sufficient funds of the claimant are held with the respondent (eg, client funds held with a bank or life insurance company), the claimant is not required to provide any additional cash deposit if the respondent agrees that this is set off by its claims for reimbursement of costs.

In the decision on the matter, the court also passes an order to reimburse the necessary and appropriate costs based on the principles defined in the Consumer Protection Act. These principles allow for refusal to grant reimbursement of costs for certain actions, in particular, if they were unnecessary for the pursuit of the claim. In certain types of proceedings (eg, non-contentious proceedings), the court has wider discretion on the principles of allocating costs to either of the parties. The amount of the costs is always defined by the lawyer's tariff.

The rules on the reimbursement of costs do not apply during proceedings following the application for an injunction. Cost awards are usually issued with the main decision deciding on the material application or relief sought.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Funding arrangements

Are 'no win, no fee' agreements, or other types of contingency or conditional fee arrangements between lawyers and their clients, available to parties? May parties bring proceedings using third-party funding? If so, may the third party take a share of any proceeds of the claim? May a party to litigation share its risk with a third party?

Pursuant to the rules of both the Attorneys Act and the Liechtenstein Civil Code, lawyers are prohibited from entering into *quota litis* agreements or from taking over and pursuing claims at their own risk and cost. Thus, attorneys may also not act as litigation funders. Thus, 'no win, no fee' agreements or other types of contingency fees are not permissible. However, an attorney can agree on a basic fee with an additional success fee depending on the success of the case, whereby the conditions and amount shall be precisely defined.

However, the limitations under the law do not apply to other persons who do not qualify as attorneys. Therefore, litigation funding is permitted and widely used in Liechtenstein, in particular in international complex asset recovery matters or arbitration proceedings. Additionally, professional litigation funders are engaged in cases of mass claims. Thus, a claimant of a civil case can shift his or her risk to a third party for a share in the outcome of the proceeding. Due to commercial reasons, however, in practice litigation funding is interesting only when larger amounts are in dispute and only on the side of the claimant.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Insurance

Is insurance available to cover all or part of a party's legal costs?

There are different types of insurance available for protection against the financial risks associated with civil cases as claimant or defendant, and associated with other types of proceedings. That said, depending on the terms of the insurance policy there can be substantial restrictions on the coverage in terms of scope and amount covered (insurance sum). Further restrictions can apply regarding the hourly rates covered by the insurance. However, a restriction on the selection of the attorney is not permitted.

Further, directors and officers insurance can provide for coverage not only of the procedural costs but also the financial obligations towards the other party. Thus, insurance is more likely to be utilised by a defendant in a case.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Class action

May litigants with similar claims bring a form of collective redress? In what circumstances is this permitted?

Liechtenstein law does not provide for an institute similar to class actions under common law.

However, procedural law allows for a joinder of claimants or defendants under certain requirements. Parties can act as joint claimants or defendants if their claims are based on the same, or at least similar, factual and legal grounds and the claims fall under the jurisdiction of the Liechtenstein courts. If so, the case of the joint parties is heard together.

Further, it is possible to formally join several independent cases together into one case for the taking of evidence. However, separate final decisions will still be rendered.

In any event, it is required that a certain party actively participates in the proceeding and it is not possible to render decisions for or against persons or entities that were not formally party to the proceeding.

The Consumer Protection Act, however, allows consumer protection organisations to file claims for omission on behalf of several individuals, for example, against the terms and conditions of businesses that are disadvantageous to consumers. These claims are not class actions in the strict sense as they are limited to determining that certain conducts or clauses are not in accordance with the law and omission is ordered.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Appeal

On what grounds and in what circumstances can the parties appeal? Is there a right of further appeal?

Judgments of the District Court can be appealed to the Court of Appeal within four weeks of receipt. The Court of Appeal either confirms, amends or annuls the decision, and remands the matter back to the first instance for further taking of evidence or correction of procedural errors, and rendering a new decision. An oral hearing at the Court of Appeal is conducted only upon application by one of the parties or if the Court of Appeal itself considers it necessary.

Procedural law allows for new facts and evidence to be presented in the appeal submission, as long as the underlying claim remains identical (an amendment of the claim is not possible). However, the Court of Appeal can refuse to permit further facts or evidence if they were negligently brought forward with delay. In the appeal, the factual findings, procedural errors and legal assessment can be contested. For some procedural errors, a prior rebuke in the oral hearing is required to permit a later contesting of the appeals proceeding.

Unless explicitly excluded by law, decisions of the District Court other than judgments, such as orders, can be appealed to the Court of Appeal within 14 days of receipt. Exceptions from a separate appeal are procedural orders on the conduct of the proceeding or other decisions that can be appealed together with the main decision.

In general, decisions of the Court of Appeal can be appealed to the Supreme Court, although some limitations apply. Judgments of the Court of Appeal can be appealed within four weeks. However, an appeal to the Supreme Court is excluded in cases of low amounts in dispute (up to 5,000 Swiss francs) or where the Court of Appeal has fully upheld the decision of the District Court (up to 50,000 francs in dispute). Appeals proceedings before the Supreme Court are in writing.

In general, orders of the Court of Appeal can be appealed within 14 days of receipt, subject to limitations. An order of the Court of Appeal confirming an order of the District Court cannot be appealed. This applies to cost awards rendered by the Court of Appeal in any case.

The Supreme Court deals only with the legal questions and procedural errors of the Court of Appeal, not with any questions on facts. No new facts are allowed to be entered into the proceeding. The Supreme Court decides in writing without a prior oral hearing.

Decisions of the final instance that are conclusive on a certain item and, thus, are not merely remanding a matter back to a lower instance can be appealed to the Liechtenstein Constitutional Court within four weeks of receipt.

In the appeal, only violations of constitutional rights can be asserted (ie, a violation of constitutional rights granted by the Liechtenstein Constitution and/or the European Convention on Human Rights). The Constitutional Court can only annul the decision at hand and remand the case back for a new decision in adherence with the constitutional rights. The ordinary courts are then bound by the legal considerations of the Constitutional Court.

Appeals against judgments to the Court of Appeal and the Supreme Court have suspensive effect and the underlying decision does not become enforceable until becoming final and binding. This does not apply to appeals against orders. Therefore, in general, orders are immediately enforceable after being issued and delivered. Nonetheless, the higher instance can grant suspensive effect upon application.

An appeal to the Constitutional Court does not have any suspensive effect by itself either, but such can be granted by the court's president upon application if conditions under the law are met.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

| Foreign judgments

What procedures exist for recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments?

Liechtenstein is not a party to any multilateral treaties on the enforcement of court decisions such as the Lugano Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters of 1988/2007 or Council Regulation (EC) No. 1215/2012 on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters (Brussels Ia Regulation). Thus, foreign decisions may be enforced in Liechtenstein only to a limited extent.

The only exception for multilateral treaties is the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 to which Liechtenstein is a party.

Under the Enforcement Act, decisions of foreign courts are generally recognised and enforceable in Liechtenstein only if bilateral treaties provide for such actions or if reciprocity is guaranteed to the government. Given that no such reciprocity declarations have ever been provided, the latter rule is irrelevant.

Liechtenstein has concluded bilateral treaties on the recognition and enforcement of court decisions in civil law matters only with Switzerland and Austria. Under the treaties, the following requirements must be met to allow for recognition and enforcement:

- The recognition must not be contrary to the public policy of Liechtenstein and the objection of *res iudicata* must be observed (no prior Liechtenstein decision on the same matter);
- The deciding court must have had jurisdiction based on one of the principles set out in the treaty (eg, mere jurisdiction based on assets would not suffice);
- The judgment must be final and binding according to the foreign law; and
- In the case of a default judgment, the writ of summons initiating the proceeding must have been served on the party in default personally or on a proper representative.

In most cases, foreign decisions cannot be enforced in Liechtenstein. Thus, a foreign creditor must obtain an enforceable Liechtenstein decision to enforce claims against assets located in Liechtenstein. A foreign final and binding decision can serve as a means to allow the creditor to obtain an enforceable Liechtenstein decision in a fast-track proceeding. The foreign creditor can first obtain a payment order of the District Court against the debtor. If the debtor files an objection against this payment order within the 14-day time limit, the creditor can obtain an annulment of the objection in a summary proceeding by utilising the foreign final and enforceable decision. If the requirements are met, the District Court declares the annulment of the objection and reinstates the payment order. The debtor can initiate a revocation proceeding within 14 days, which is an own regular contentious proceeding in which the debtor can relitigate the matter. However, the roles of claimant and defendant are reversed, whereby the debtor must act as the claimant and has the burden of proving the non-existence of the claim.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Foreign proceedings

Are there any procedures for obtaining oral or documentary evidence for use in civil proceedings in other jurisdictions?

With regard to legal assistance in civil matters, Liechtenstein has signed the European Convention on Information Relating to Foreign Law and the Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters.

Further, the provisions of the Jurisdiction Act provide for that the District Court grants legal assistance unless otherwise provided for by bilateral agreements. Legal assistance, however, is refused if the act requested does not fall into the competence of the District Court or is prohibited by Liechtenstein law, or if reciprocity is not given. In the case of doubt on the question of reciprocity, a binding declaration of the Court of Appeal is obtained.

Further, the District Court adheres to Liechtenstein procedural law when providing legal assistance.

The most common case of legal assistance for a foreign court in civil matters is the service of documents and the examination of witnesses.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

ARBITRATION

UNCITRAL Model Law

Is the arbitration law based on the UNCITRAL Model Law?

In general, Liechtenstein arbitration follows the Austrian model based on the Model Law on International Arbitration (UNCITRAL). To make the law more attractive and effective, Liechtenstein arbitration law included several amendments.

As a rule, claims arising from rights involving an economic interest that are usually decided by domestic courts are arbitrable. Other claims are arbitrable if the parties are capable of reaching a settlement on the subject matter of the dispute.

Further, disputes in relation to trusts, foundations or companies can be brought to arbitration, including disputes among participants of a trust or foundation, the removal of trustees of a trust or members of the foundation council, or the challenging of resolutions of trustees of a trust or the foundation council.

However, matters that fall within the scope of the Foundation Supervisory Court by law, such as the removal of trustees or members of the foundation council for gross breach of duties, or proceedings that are initiated ex officio by a public authority (eg, the District Court or the Foundation Supervision Authority) are exempt from arbitration.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Arbitration agreements

What are the formal requirements for an enforceable arbitration agreement?

An arbitration agreement must be in writing and contained either in a written document signed by both parties, or in letters, telefaxes and emails, or other means of transmitting messages between the parties that provide a record of the agreement. A contractual referral to a document containing an arbitration agreement such as reference to general terms and conditions constitutes an arbitration agreement, too. Usually, in practice arbitration clauses and agreements define the applicability of the Liechtenstein Arbitration Rules of the Liechtenstein Arbitration Association (LIS), which provide for a detailed and concise set of arbitration rules similar to the Rules of Arbitration administered by the International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Choice of arbitrator

**If the arbitration agreement and any relevant rules are silent on the matter, how many arbitrators will be appointed and how will they be appointed?
Are there restrictions on the right to challenge the appointment of an arbitrator?**

Unless otherwise provided in the arbitration agreement, the number of arbitrators according to the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure is three, whereby each party appoints one arbitrator; the two arbitrators then appoint a third arbitrator as chairperson. If a party fails to appoint an arbitrator in time after having obtained the request, the arbitrator is appointed by the court upon further request of a party. The appointment of an arbitrator can be challenged if circumstances exist giving rise to justifiable doubts as to the impartiality or independence of that arbitrator, or if the arbitrator does not have the qualifications agreed upon by the parties.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Arbitrator options

What are the options when choosing an arbitrator or arbitrators?

Generally, the parties can freely determine the composition of the arbitral tribunal, and the number of arbitrators and the appointment process. By law, only full-time judges of the Liechtenstein courts are excluded as arbitrators. If the parties have agreed on an even number of arbitrators, they appoint a further person as chair. A person approached for possible appointment as arbitrator shall disclose any circumstances relevant to the questions of impartiality or independence. After appointment, an arbitrator shall disclose any such circumstances to the parties without delay, unless they have already been informed and consented to the continuation on this informed basis.

Even if a formal legal education is not required to be eligible as an arbitrator, parties are, of course, well advised to choose a person with the legal and professional expertise for the specific dispute, as well as the skills and capacities to handle the process. In practice, often lawyers from the large pool of local and international members of the LIS are selected as they can meet the requirements for complex arbitration cases.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Arbitral procedure

Does the domestic law contain substantive requirements for the procedure to be followed?

The arbitral tribunal adjudicates the dispute pursuant to the statutory provisions or other provisions as agreed upon by the parties.

Unless the parties have agreed otherwise, any agreement on the applicable law or the legal system of a state shall be construed as referring to the respective substantive law and not to its conflict of laws rules.

In the absence of a choice of law, the arbitral tribunal shall apply the statutory provisions or rules of law it considers appropriate, which is the provisions that have the closest connection to the dispute.

Subject to the mandatory provisions (eg, the right to be heard), the parties are also free to agree on the procedural rules. The parties may also refer to other rules of procedure. Failing such agreement, the arbitral tribunal, subject to the provisions of the applicable law, must conduct the arbitration in such manner as it considers appropriate.

Often, the arbitration clauses refer to or the parties separately agree to apply the Liechtenstein Rules issued by LIS (the Liechtenstein Arbitration Association), which provide for a detailed and concise set of procedural rules and thus clarity and certainty.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Court powers to support the arbitral process

What powers do national courts have to support the arbitral process before and during an arbitration?

Generally, the arbitration agreement establishes an exclusion of the jurisdiction of state courts. If a court is approached with a claim that is subject to an arbitration agreement, the court rejects the claim unless the defendant enters into the claim by making submissions on the matter itself without objecting to the jurisdiction. If the court establishes that there is no valid arbitration agreement or that it cannot be performed, the court can hear the case.

As a general rule, when an arbitration proceeding is pending, no other parallel arbitration or court case in the same matter can be commenced and any other such claim will be rejected. Some minor exceptions apply.

However, the law provides that even in the case of a valid arbitration agreement, the state courts, in particular, still have competence on the following items, which also cannot be overridden by agreement:

- decisions on applications on interim measures in connection with the arbitration case (section 602 of the Code of Civil Procedure);
- appointment, rejection or early dismissal of an arbitrator under certain preconditions (section 604 et seq);
-

enforcement of provisional measures validly ordered by the arbitral tribunal (section 610); and

- decisions on applications to set aside the arbitration award (section 628).

Thus, the applicant and claimant may apply for injunctive measures before the national courts before or after initiation of an arbitration case. The arbitration case then serves as the justification proceeding.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Interim relief

Do arbitrators have powers to grant interim relief?

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties in the arbitration agreement, the arbitral tribunal upon request of a party can order provisional or protective measures against a party in relation to the subject matter of the dispute if the enforcement of the claim would otherwise be frustrated or substantially more difficult, or if there is a risk of irreversible damage. Before imposing such measures, the arbitral tribunal must hear the other party and can request the applicant to make a security deposit for potential costs and damages in connection with the demanded interim measure.

As outlined above, the power to enforce an interim measure against the other party solely remains in the competence of the civil courts under the respective domestic laws.

It is noteworthy that, under the Liechtenstein Rules, the competence to order interim measures is only with the arbitral tribunal and the parties cannot address the regular courts with applications for interim measures after the arbitral tribunal has been established.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Award

When and in what form must the award be delivered?

Once the arbitral tribunal holds that the facts are duly presented and the matter is ready for decision, it will close the proceedings and render the arbitral award.

The award is made in writing and signed by the arbitrators. Unless agreed otherwise, the signatures of most members of the arbitral tribunal shall suffice, provided that the chairperson or another arbitrator shall indicate on the award the obstacle to the absence of signatures. Unless agreed otherwise by the parties, the award must set out the reasons that form the basis of the decision, the date on which it was rendered and the seat of the arbitral tribunal. A copy of the award signed by the arbitrators is sent to each party.

The award and the documentation on its service are joint documents of the parties and the arbitrators. The arbitral tribunal must agree on the safekeeping of the award and the documentation of its service with the parties. Upon request of a party, the chair confirms that the award is final and enforceable .

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Appeal or challenge

On what grounds can an award be appealed or challenged in the courts?

Arbitral awards, as well as awards ruling on the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal, can be challenged only with an action filed with the Princely Court of Appeal within four weeks of receipt of the award. The action can apply to set aside the award. The Court of Appeal has the jurisdiction as first and last instance, notwithstanding a possible extraordinary appeal to the Constitutional Court for alleged violations of constitutional rights.

According to Liechtenstein law, the arbitral award can be set aside for the following reasons:

- There is no valid arbitration agreement in place, or the arbitral tribunal has denied jurisdiction despite the existence of a valid arbitration agreement;
- A party was not given proper notice of the appointment of an arbitrator, the arbitral proceedings or was for other reasons unable to present its case;
- The award decides on a dispute not covered by the arbitration agreement, or contains decisions on matters that are beyond the scope of the arbitration agreement or the applications of the parties;
- The arbitral tribunal was not constituted in accordance with the provisions of the law or the applicable arbitration agreement of the parties;
- The arbitral proceeding was conducted in a manner that conflicts with the Liechtenstein public order;
- The requirements under which an action for reopening could be filed against a court judgment are met;
- The subject matter of the dispute is not arbitrable under Liechtenstein law; and
- The arbitral award conflicts with the Liechtenstein public order.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Enforcement

What procedures exist for enforcement of foreign and domestic awards?

Liechtenstein is a party to the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 (the New York Convention). Thus, arbitral awards obtained before the arbitral tribunal and in proceedings in accordance with the New York Convention constitute enforcement titles and can be enforced in Liechtenstein without re-examination of the merits of the case.

The enforcement of arbitral awards lies in the competence of the state courts and is governed by the provisions of the Enforcement Act. For enforcement, the original or a certified copy of the award along with the confirmation of the arbitrators is required that the award is final and enforceable. If the award is not in German, a translation is also required.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Costs

Can a successful party recover its costs?

Once the arbitral proceeding is terminated, the arbitral tribunal decides on the reimbursement of costs, unless otherwise agreed by the parties. When deciding on the costs the arbitral tribunal at its discretion takes into account the circumstances of the individual case, in particular the outcome of the proceeding. The obligation to reimburse costs can include all costs reasonable for the purpose of legal prosecution or legal defence.

Together with deciding on the obligation to reimburse costs, the arbitral tribunal shall fix the amount of the costs to be reimbursed, as far as this is possible and the costs are not set off against each other. The decision on the reimbursement of costs and the amount shall be made in the form of an award (costs award).

Law stated - 28 April 2026

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Types of ADR

What types of ADR process are commonly used? Is a particular ADR process popular?

The most important alternative dispute resolution means are arbitration (governed by the Code of Civil Procedure) and mediation proceedings (governed by the Law regarding Mediation in Civil Law Matters); arbitration has a much more important role and mediation is seen as less practical and important.

Liechtenstein implemented the EU Directive on Consumer Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR). Even if participation is voluntary, companies in Liechtenstein shall inform consumers about the opportunity for ADR proceedings in case of a dispute. In addition, Liechtenstein offers a Conciliation Board for conflicts with financial service providers, such as asset management companies, banks, professional trustees and others. Given that these ADR are voluntary, they do not have an important role in dispute resolution.

Further, Liechtenstein law provides for ADR in the case of disputes on the change of the professional trustee that administrates a foundation and other companies. Here, the Liechtenstein Chamber of Trustees conducts a proceeding and renders recommendations for a handover of the administration in case of conflicts of interest. Not following the recommendations of the Chamber of Trustees could lead to disciplinary consequences for a trustee.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

Requirements for ADR

Is there a requirement for the parties to litigation or arbitration to consider ADR before or during proceedings? Can the court or tribunal compel the parties to participate in an ADR process?

Conducting and participating in ADR is voluntary. Thus, neither a state court nor an arbitral tribunal can compel parties to participate in an ADR process. An exception is given for disputes about parental custody.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

MISCELLANEOUS

Interesting features

Are there any particularly interesting features of the dispute resolution system not addressed in any of the previous questions?

As regards asset recovery or complex commercial litigation, civil litigation is often combined with criminal investigation proceedings as civil claims can be based on suspected criminal activity of the counterparty. Criminal investigations give the creditor and claimant the possibility to obtain additional information and documentation as a private damaged party if house searches and seizures are conducted during the investigations.

Law stated - 28 April 2026

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Recent developments and future reforms

What were the key cases, decisions, judgments and policy and legislative developments of the past year? Are there any proposals for dispute resolution reform? When will any reforms take effect?

The Princely Supreme Court has issued several landmark decisions in relation to foundation law in the past. One landmark decision of 2018, which was confirmed and clarified in several decisions thereafter, deals with the restructuring of foundations and specifies the requirements and rules under which the entire assets of a foundation could be transferred to a new foundation such as in cases of restructurings.

In a 2023 landmark decision, the Constitutional Court held that, in addition to an actual gross breach of duty, conflicts of interest may also constitute an important reason for the dismissal of a member of the foundation council. Accordingly, it is not necessary for the member of the foundation board to have violated their duties due to a conflict of interest. Rather, the mere perception of a possible conflict of interest and a resulting abstract threat to the interests of the foundation may be sufficient for the dismissal of the member. The court found that the conflict of interest of the dismissed board member manifested itself in several ways, one of which was the initiation of several civil and criminal proceedings against a beneficiary of the foundation (whether justified or not).

A further landmark decision clarified under which conditions and circumstances a beneficiary can be considered as an entitled beneficiary with a claim on the foundation assets or merely as a discretionary beneficiary. The decision clarifies that the judgment must be made on a case-by-case basis and is highly dependent on the statutes, by-laws and overall circumstances.

Further, the Supreme Court recently issued a landmark decision that is relevant for asset protection matters when it confirmed that a foreign insolvency administrator has no active standing in a parallel domestic insolvency proceeding and, in particular, may not appeal the decision or demand handover of the Liechtenstein assets.

Law stated - 28 April 2026